	Case 1:24-cv-00009-BAM Document 4	Filed 10/20/25	Page 1 of 2	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	JOSHUA ROBERTSON,	Case No. 1:24-000	09-JLT-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER STRIKIN	G UNSIGNED FILING	
13	V.	(ECF No. 39)		
14	JOHN LATORRACA CORRECTIONAL			
15	FACILITY, et al.,			
16	Defendants.			
17	Plaintiff Joshua Robertson is a former pretrial detainee and current civil detainee			
18	proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.			
19	This action proceeds on Plaintiff's second amended complaint against: (1) defendants Gutierrez,			
20	Sandoval, and Reid for Due Process violation for conditions of confinement related to cell			
21	sanitation; (2) defendant Guiterrez for Due Process violation for conditions of confinement			
22	related to removal of the mattress; and (3) defendants Merce County and John Latorraca			
23	Correctional Facility for Monell liability.			
24	Currently before the Court is a motion to amend complaint filed October 15, 2025. (ECF			
25	No. 39.) In the motion, which is dated December 20, 2024, Plaintiff seeks leave to amend his			
26	complaint in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a). Plaintiff specifies that he			
27	would like to amend certain numbered paragraphs and that he "highlighted the amended			
28	paragraphs in this third amended complaint in BOLD to make it easier for the court to recognize			

1	them." (Id.) Plaintiff requests that the Court accept his third amended complaint.		
2	Plaintiff's motion is not signed. Both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this		
3	Court's Local Rules require that all filed pleadings, motions and papers be signed by at least one		
4	attorney of record or by the party personally if the party is unrepresented. Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a);		
5	Local Rule 131(b). As the motion is unsigned, the Court must strike it from the record. Plaintif		
6	is cautioned that all future filings must include a signature, and any motion or filing that does		
7	not contain a signature will also be stricken from the record. Additionally, the motion is		
8	duplicative of the signed motion to amend filed on January 2, 2025, which was denied on May		
9	27, 2025. (ECF Nos. 21, 25.)		
10	Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff's motion to amend complaint, ECF No. 39, is		
11	STRICKEN from the record for lack of signature.		
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14	Dated: October 20, 2025 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe		
15	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		